

WHITEPAPER

MINDFIT®

a novel approach to mental fitness

Reviewed by MindFit® Science Advisory Board

Author / Nicholas Singh

Table of Content

Summary	04
Introduction	04
Why Mental Fitness, And Why Now?	04
Addressing A Market Need	05
Theoretical Foundation	06
Mental Fitness Definition And Related Constructs	06
Importance And Outcomes Of Mental Fitness	07
Challenges In Measuring And Assessing Mental Fitness	07
MindFit® — Mental Fitness In Practice	08
A Novel Mental Fitness Framework And Training Program	08
Component #1: Self-Assessment	09
Component #2: Personalized Exercises	14
Component #3: Ongoing Support	15

Table of Content

Current And Future Studies On MindFit®	—	16
2023 MindFit® Pilot Study Snapshot		16
Future Research And Ongoing Contribution To Mental Fitness		18
Conclusion	—	18
References	—	19

Summary

This white paper presents MindFit®, a novel approach to mental fitness. Mental fitness is characterized as a holistic set of skills and traits essential for adapting to life's demands. However, existing assessments are not comprehensive in their measurement of mental fitness. MindFit® addresses this gap by introducing an integrated assessment framework of 10 key mental fitness attributes. A 2023 initial pilot study of MindFit® involving 30 diverse participants over twelve weeks of tailored mental fitness exercises reflected a median mental fitness score improvement of 8.2%. Participants also reported enhanced coping skills (73%), mood improvements (80%) and overall perceived increase in well-being (87%). MindFit®'s goal is to establish an actionable framework for mental fitness, paving the way for accessible training programs.

Introduction

Why Mental Fitness, And Why Now?

Mental fitness is essential for handling life's demands (Robinson et al., 2015). Higher workloads, constant connectivity, and complex social interactions cause stress and cognitive overload (Misra & Stokols, 2012; Kushlev et al., 2016, Twenge, 2018), while our ability to cope diminishes (APA, 2023; OSG, 2023; Helliwell, 2020). This widening gap of increased demand and decreased coping ability is the focus of MindFit®. MindFit® aims to empower individuals to enhance their ...

resilience and adaptability, addressing the escalating demands of modern life through mental fitness training.

Addressing A Market Need

The growing interest in mental fitness has highlighted a significant challenge: the lack of comprehensive tools for its accurate assessment and measurement (Trends, 2023; Robinson et al., 2015). Traditional mental health assessments like the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) or Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) are restricted in their focus, overlooking the multifaceted nature of mental fitness. This reveals a market gap for standardized, validated assessments that holistically evaluate mental fitness, a complex and subjective construct. MindFit® addresses this need by introducing a scientifically-grounded assessment methodology. Embracing the principle that 'what gets measured gets improved,' MindFit® not only fills the assessment gap but also pioneers personalized mental fitness training programs, tailored to enhance mental fitness and its beneficial outcomes.

In her PhD, Paula Robinson proposed a four attribute framework and a 69-item scale. MindFit® aims to expand and refine Robinson's framework by introducing ten attributes rather than four, a shorter 30-item scale rather than 69 items, and undergoing psychometric analysis and the peer review process, to provide a more comprehensive and practical approach for use day to day. MindFit®'s attributes include strength, balance, coordination, power, stamina, agility, flexibility, endurance, accuracy, and speed. This refined scope

aims to address the multifaceted nature of mental fitness and enhance the usability of the assessment tool.

Theoretical Foundation

Mental Fitness Definition And Related Constructs

While "mental fitness" is becoming increasingly popular, its formal definition is less common. The first comprehensive definition, from Robinson's Delphi study, describes it as "the modifiable capacity to utilize resources and skills to flexibly adapt to challenges or advantages, enabling thriving" (Robinson et al., 2015). The guiding principles established are: (1) mental fitness is a positive term, distinct from illness; (2) it's comparable to physical fitness in public perception; (3) it's measurable; and (4) it can be improved.

Mental fitness intersects with, yet stands apart from, constructs like psychological well-being, emotional intelligence, and resilience. Psychological well-being involves life fulfillment (Keyes, 2007); emotional intelligence is about understanding, regulating and expressing emotions (Salovey & Mayer, 1990); and resilience is the ability to recover from adversity (Luthar et al., 2000). Mental fitness encompasses these aspects and more, offering a comprehensive approach not fully captured by these individual constructs.

Further, mental fitness differs from broader areas like executive functions (EFs) and positive psychology. EFs, including core cognitive processes like inhibition and working memory (Diamond, 2013), form

the foundation for higher-order skills but don't address the multi-faceted emotional and psychological states that mental fitness includes. Positive psychology provides possibly the closest analog to mental fitness, especially with its positive psychology interventions (PPIs) that are akin to mental fitness "exercises" (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000), although PPIs tend to focus on honing existing strengths rather than a broader, more holistic approach to mental fitness that allows for building of weaknesses as well.

Importance And Outcomes Of Mental Fitness

Mental fitness is the collection of skills and traits necessary for psychological adaptation to life circumstances. Traits like resilience which correlate with reduced stress, anxiety, and depression are integral to well-being and optimal functioning (Tugade & Fredrickson, 2004, Huppert, 2009). Reduced stress is associated with physical health benefits, including improved cardiovascular health, immune function, and longevity (Cohen et al., 2016). Reducing stress can also enhance cognitive abilities like attention, memory, and problem-solving (Diamond & Lee, 2011), which in turn can foster better relationships and increased overall satisfaction in both personal and professional life (Diamond, 2013).

Challenges In Measuring And Assessing Mental Fitness

Measuring mental fitness presents significant challenges due to its multidimensional and subjective nature, contrasting with the objective measurability of physical fitness (Caspersen et al., 1985). A major

hurdle is the lack of standardized, validated tools for a comprehensive evaluation. Current assessments tend to focus on related constructs, like the Emotional Intelligence Appraisal on emotional intelligence (Bru-Luna, 2021), the Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA) on resilience (Friborg et al., 2003), or the Brief Self-Control Scale on self-control (Tangney et al., 2004), without directly assessing mental fitness. This results in a fragmented understanding of mental fitness and limits the efficacy of intervention strategies, which requires addressing mental fitness holistically. MindFit® aims to fill this gap, integrating existing theories with new dimensions that reflect the complexities of mental fitness.

MindFit® - Mental Fitness In Practice

A Novel Mental Fitness Framework And Training Program

MindFit® offers a structured approach to enhance mental fitness while drawing on the rich theoretical literature from various disciplines, including positive psychology and cognitive behavioral psychology. The framework comprises three interconnected components: self-assessment, personalized exercises, and ongoing support.

1. Personalized Exercises: Based on the assessment outcomes as well as a unique machine learning algorithm, MindFit® provides tailored exercises using evidence-based techniques to develop and strengthen mental fitness dimensions.

2. Personalized Exercises: Based on the assessment outcomes

as well as a unique machine learning algorithm, MindFit® provides tailored exercises using evidence-based techniques to develop and strengthen mental fitness dimensions.

3. Ongoing Support: This aspect ensures continuous guidance, motivation, and accountability, featuring regular check-ins, progress tracking, and access to a community for support. It also ensures continuous innovation and improvement.

By integrating these components, MindFit® offers a holistic approach to improving mental fitness, adapting to each individual's needs and goals.

Component 1: Self-Assessment

MindFit®'s self-assessment component evaluates mental fitness across 10 key attributes, each reflecting crucial cognitive and emotional functioning aspects:

- 1. Strength:** Assessing stress and pressure resistance, linked to stress management and emotional control. High scores indicate resilience (Afek, 2021; Tugade, 2004; Smith, 2008; Nakao, 2021; Connor et al., 2003).
- 2. Balance:** Evaluating control over priorities, associated with prioritization and task management skills. High scores reflect well-balanced life domains (Kalliath, 2008; Rothbard & Wilk, 2011).
- 3. Coordination:** The capacity for multitasking, related to strategic planning and problem-solving. High scores suggest effective multitasking (Rothbart, 2015; Morgan, 2013; Dux, 2009; Bender, 2017).

4. **Power:** Measuring self and others' motivation, associated with motivational skills and social awareness. High scores indicate strong drive and influence (Ryan & Deci, 2000; Goleman, 1998; Locke & Latham, 2002).
5. **Stamina:** Focusing on sustaining effort, related to self-control and focus. High scores imply commitment to long-term goals (Baumeister et al., 1998; Duckworth et al., 2007).
6. **Agility:** Assessing adaptability and information processing. High scores show a capability for adaptation (Ross, 2018; Davidson & McEwen, 2012).
7. **Flexibility:** The ability to adjust without being overwhelmed, linked to cognitive flexibility and decision-making. High scores denote adaptability (Dennis, 2010; Zmigrod, 2019; Zühlsdorff, 2023).
8. **Endurance:** Evaluating sustained effort through discomfort, associated with resilience and discipline. High scores signify resilience and discipline (Luthar et al., 2000; Schimschal, 2021; Baumeister & Tierney, 2011; Maslach et al., 2001).
9. **Accuracy:** Measuring goal achievement capabilities, related to self-awareness and visualization. High scores indicate goal-focused skills (Papies, 2016; Blankert, 2017).
10. **Speed:** Assessing efficiency in achieving targets, linked to time management and creativity. High scores reflect efficiency (Aeon, 2021).

The MindFit® framework selects its 10 traits by integrating psychological theories and adapting terms from Caspersen's seminal paper on physical fitness (Caspersen et al., 1985), drawing a parallel as suggested by Robinson et al. (2015). It imagines an individual's ...

journey to accept and resolve life demands along with the mental fitness attributes needed along the way. This analogy reinforces the comprehensive nature of mental fitness, encompassing stress management, emotional regulation (Gross, 1998), cognitive agility (Diamond, 2013), and resilience. Together, they align with the PERMA Model's components (Seligman, 2011), the Transactional Model's adaptive processes (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984), and the fulfillment criteria from Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000), to form a robust basis for assessing and enhancing mental fitness. The framework goes beyond these models and reflects the multidimensional nature of mental fitness.

MindFit® Assessment Item Development

The MindFit® framework's assessment items were developed to gauge mental fitness across 10 essential attributes, informed by scientific literature and expert input. Scored out of a total of 100 points possible. The values are normalized out of 100 percent. Each attribute has 3 items for a total of 30 items. The items use a Likert scale of Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree with one to five points possible for a total of 150 points but normalized out of 100 percent.

Below is the list of items for each attribute:

Attribute	Items
Strength	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is difficult to carry a heavy workload.2. I do not get easily stressed.3. I tend to become anxious under pressure.

Balance	<p>4. I find it difficult to balance my priorities.</p> <p>5. I am good at identifying and maintaining priorities.</p> <p>6. If I spend a lot of time on one priority, I can adjust to make sure other priorities do not fall behind.</p>
Coordination	<p>7. I have often been able to handle multiple problems at the same time.</p> <p>8. I struggle to handle complex, multi-faceted issues.</p> <p>9. I am skilled at tackling problems with many moving parts.</p>
Power	<p>10. I can push myself towards meeting goals.</p> <p>11. It is difficult to motivate myself to pursue goals.</p> <p>12. When faced with a challenge, I can motivate myself to meet it.</p>
Stamina	<p>13. I often quit tasks after starting them.</p> <p>14. I am often able to sustain the energy required to meet goals over a period of time.</p> <p>15. I often start projects and abandon them some what quickly.</p>
Agility	<p>16. It can take a while for me to adjust my direction for new life situations, tasks, etc.</p> <p>17. I can shift between tasks with ease.</p> <p>18. I naturally roll with life's changes.</p>

Flexibility	<p>19. I get irritated when given more responsibility than initially planned.</p> <p>20. I can change my stance on long-held beliefs.</p> <p>21. I am able to accept more work than initially planned without feeling overburdened.</p>
Endurance	<p>22. I can withstand stress over a long period of time.</p> <p>23. I get irritated if I have to maintain moderate to high levels of effort on one task for a long time.</p> <p>24. I am able to withstand sustained periods of stress.</p>
Accuracy	<p>25. I can correctly identify what is bothering me when feeling distressed.</p> <p>26. I can envision clear goals for my life.</p> <p>27. I have trouble determining what I want.</p>
Speed	<p>28. I am known for doing things by or before my deadlines.</p> <p>29. It is usually difficult to complete my work on time.</p> <p>30. I am able to finish tasks more quickly than most people.</p>

The pilot program evaluated the assessment's feasibility and acceptability with a diverse group of participants (see below). Results indicated:

- **Clarity:** The items were clearly understood.
- **Consistency:** Respondents showed consistent answers within each attribute category.
- **Insights:** Assessment provided meaningful insights into mental fitness profiles.

The pilot validated the assessment's design, suggesting MindFit®'s potential for fostering enhanced mental fitness through personalized training.

Component #2: Personalized Exercises

After completing the mental fitness assessment, MindFit® utilizes a decision tree machine learning model to personalize mental fitness exercises. This high level of precision in the model ensures that exercises are finely tuned to the individual's specific mental fitness profile.

The exercises within MindFit® are curated from the forefront of therapeutic practices, mindfulness techniques, and positive psychology interventions. They are carefully selected and adapted for the digital environment to suit the needs of busy adults, allowing for engagement in brief daily sessions designed to fit seamlessly into a hectic lifestyle.

Under the guidance of a distinguished scientific advisory board, including renowned researchers like Roy Baumeister, and with input from clinical psychologists and therapists, MindFit®'s exercises are ...

MindFit®'s database of exercises is dynamic, continually evolving based on user feedback, data analytics, and emerging research. This approach allows for the platform to stay at the cutting edge of mental fitness, constantly refining and expanding its offerings to better serve users' needs. The result is a living, adaptive system that reflects the latest advancements in mental health and user-centered design, ensuring that each individual's path to improved mental fitness is as effective and efficient as possible.

With MindFit®, users are not just recipients of a static program but active participants in an ever-improving journey towards optimal mental well-being.

Component #3: Ongoing Support

The third pillar of the MindFit® framework is ongoing support, a feature critical to the maintenance and progression of mental fitness. After individuals engage with personalized exercises, they receive continuous support, crucial for cementing new habits and fostering long-term well-being.

This support system is multifaceted:

Progress Tracking: Users can monitor their development over time, reinforcing motivation and enabling adjustments to their personalized plan.

Regular Check-Ins: Automated reminders and check-ins help users stay on track with their mental fitness regimen.

- **Community Engagement:** Access to a community of users provides a network of peer support, sharing experiences and encouragement.
- **Data-Driven Adaptations:** User feedback and engagement data continuously inform the evolution of the support component, ensuring relevance and effectiveness.
- **Latest Research Integration:** The advisory board reviews and incorporates findings from new studies to keep the support strategies at the forefront of mental health.

MindFit®'s ongoing support goes beyond simple reminders; it's an immersive experience that adapts to the user's changing needs and grows with their mental fitness journey. It ensures that every step forward is acknowledged and built upon, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and resilience.

Through this comprehensive support system, MindFit® users are not just learning and practicing mental fitness – they are living it, with every tool and resource they need for success at their fingertips.

Current And Future Studies on MindFit®

2023 MindFit® Pilot Study Snapshot

The 2023 pilot study of MindFit® involved 30 diverse participants and aimed to validate the program's effectiveness. Over twelve weeks, this group engaged with tailored mental fitness exercises, reflecting a ...

median mental fitness score improvement of 8.2%.

Quantitative Feedback Highlights

- 73% reported enhanced coping skills.
- 73% experienced reduced stress levels.
- 80% noticed an increase in overall mood.
- 87% felt improvements in their well-being.
- 8.2 point average increase in assessment scores.

Anonymized Qualitative Feedback Synthesis

- Participants valued the program for its capacity to integrate psychological education with practical tools, facilitating a self-directed approach to mental fitness.
- Many noted the program's strategic and tactical benefits, citing improved life planning and daily stress management as key outcomes.
- The aspect of increased mental health awareness was frequently mentioned, with participants appreciating the daily preparation for mental resilience.
- The program was recognized for validating personal strengths and weaknesses, providing a motivational and positive experience.
- A significant number of users highlighted the value of regular practice and the variety of tools available, allowing for a personalized approach to mental fitness.

The pilot program's results indicate that MindFit® is well-positioned to

..

meet the demand for a digital mental fitness platform that is both scientifically grounded and user-friendly. Ongoing data collection and feedback from users will continue to refine and expand the program, keeping it at the forefront of mental fitness technology.

Future Research And Ongoing Contribution To Mental Fitness

The pilot study of MindFit® suggests its potential, prompting larger-scale studies for validation and a deeper understanding of its long-term effects. Upcoming randomized controlled trials will explore its impact on diverse populations.

Ongoing advancements in the MindFit® framework will focus on refining assessment tools and broadening the scope of mental fitness dimensions. The adaptability of the program will be informed by continuous research, ensuring an evidence-based approach that meets the changing needs of users aiming to improve their mental fitness.

Conclusion

The pilot study of MindFit® demonstrated promising indications of its efficacy in enhancing key aspects of mental fitness among participants. Specifically, the data suggests improvements in areas such as stress management, emotional regulation, and overall mental well-being. This paper serves as an initial step in understanding how structured mental fitness programs can positively impact individuals' mental health and well-being.

mental health. The results, while preliminary, offer a basis for considering mental fitness training as a viable component in the broader context of mental health strategies to address the increasing demands of modern life.

References

Aeon B, Faber A, Panaccio A. Does time management work? A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2021 Jan 11;16(1):e0245066. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245066. PMID : 33428644; PMCID : PMC7799745.

Afek A, Ben-Avraham R, Davidov A, Berezin Cohen N, Ben Yehuda A, Gilboa Y, Nahum M. Psychological Resilience, Mental Health, and Inhibitory Control Among Youth and Young Adults Under Stress. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021 Jan 20;11:608588. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.608588. PMID : 33584372; PMCID : PMC7874000.

APA American Psychological Association. (2023). Stress in America™ 2023: A Nation Recovering from Collective Trauma.

Baumeister RF, Bratslavsky E, Muraven M, Tice DM. Ego depletion: is the active self a limited resource? *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1998 May;74(5):1252-65. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1252. PMID : 9599441.

Baumeister, R. F., & Tierney, J. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the greatest human strength*. Penguin Press.

Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., & Tice, D. M. (2007). The strength model of self-control. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16(6), 351–355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00534.x>

Bender AD, Filmer HL, Naughtin CK, Dux PE. Dynamic, continuous multitasking training leads to task-specific improvements but does not transfer across action selection tasks. *NPJ Sci Learn*. 2017 Dec 4;2:14. doi: 10.1038/s41539-017-0015-4. PMID : 30631460; PMCID : PMC6220332.

Blankert T, Hamstra MR. Imagining Success: Multiple Achievement Goals and the Effectiveness of Imagery. *Basic Appl Soc Psych*. 2017 Jan 2;39(1):60-67. doi: 10.1080/01973533.2016.1255947. Epub 2016 Dec 7. PMID: 28366970; PMCID: PMC5351796.

Bru-Luna LM, Martí-Vilar M, Merino-Soto C, Cervera-Santiago JL. Emotional Intelligence Measures: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2021 Dec 7;9(12):1696. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9121696. PMID : 34946422; PMCID : PMC8701889.

Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep*. 1985 Mar-Apr;100(2):126-31. PMID : 3920711; PMCID : PMC1424733.

Cohen S, Gianaros PJ, Manuck SB. A Stage Model of Stress and interventions to promote well-being. *Nat Neurosci*. 2012 Apr

15;15(5):689-95. doi: 10.1038/nn.3093. PMID : 22534579; PMCID : PMC3491815.

Dennis, J. P., & Vander Wal, J. S. (2010). The Cognitive Flexibility Inventory: Instrument development and estimates of reliability and validity. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 34(3), 241–253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-009-9276-4>

Diamond A. Executive functions. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2013;64:135-68. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750. Epub 2012 Sep 27. PMID : 23020641; PMCID : PMC4084861.

Diamond A, Lee K. Interventions shown to aid executive function development in children 4 to 12 years old. *Science*. 2011 Aug 19;333(6045):959-64. doi: 10.1126/science.1204529. PMID : 21852486; PMCID : PMC3159917.

Duckworth AL, Peterson C, Matthews MD, Kelly DR. Grit: perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2007 Jun;92(6):1087-101. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.92.6.1087. PMID : 17547490.

Dux PE, Tombu MN, Harrison S, Rogers BP, Tong F, Marois R. Training improves multitasking performance by increasing the speed of information processing in human prefrontal cortex. *Neuron*. 2009 Jul 16;63(1):127-38. doi : 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.06.005. PMCID : PMC2713348.

Friborg O, Hjemdal O, Rosenvinge JH, Martinussen M. A new rating scale for adult resilience: what are the central protective resources behind healthy adjustment? *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2003;12(2):65-76. doi: 10.1002/mpr.143. PMID: 12830300; PMCID: PMC6878238.

Goleman D. What makes a leader? *Harv Bus Rev.* 1998 Nov-Dec;76(6):93-102. PMID: 10187249.

Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271–299. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271>

Helliwell, John F., Richard Layard, Jeffrey Sachs, and Jan-Emmanuel De Neve, eds. 2020. *World Happiness Report 2020*. New York: Sustainable Development Solutions Network. Gallup World Poll

Huppert, F. A. (2009). Psychological well-being: Evidence regarding its causes and consequences. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 1(2), 137–164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01008.x>

Keyes CL. Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: a complementary strategy for improving national mental health. *Am Psychol.* 2007 Feb-Mar;62(2):95-108. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.62.2.95. PMID: 17324035.

Kallith, T., & Brough, P. (2008). Work-life balance: A review of the ...

meaning of the balance construct. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 14(3), 323–327. <https://doi.org/10.5172/jmo.837.14.3.323>

Kushlev, Kostadin & Proulx, Jason & Dunn, Elizabeth. (2016). “Silence Your Phones”: Smartphone Notifications Increase Inattention and Hyperactivity Symptoms. 10.1145/2858036.2858359.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705–717. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705>

Luthar SS, Cicchetti D, Becker B. The construct of resilience: a critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Dev*. 2000 May-Jun;71(3):543-62. doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00164. PMID: 10953923; PMCID: PMC1885202.

Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2001;52:397-422. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397. PMID: 11148311.

Misra, S., & Stokols, D. (2012). Psychological and health outcomes of perceived information overload. *Environment and Behavior*, 44(6), 737–759. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916511404408>

Morgan B, D'Mello S, Abbott R, Radvansky G, Haass M, Tamplin A.

Individual differences in multitasking ability and adaptability. *Hum Factors*. 2013 Aug;55(4):776-88. doi: 10.1177/0018720812470842. PMID: 23964417.

Nakao M, Shirotsuki K, Sugaya N. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for management of mental health and stress-related disorders: Recent advances in techniques and technologies. *Biopsychosoc Med*. 2021 Oct 3;15(1):16. doi: 10.1186/s13030-021-00219-w. PMID: 34602086; PMCID: PMC8489050.

Office of the Surgeon General (OSG). Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the Healing Effects of Social Connection and Community [Internet]. Washington (DC): US Department of Health and Human Services; 2023-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK595227/>

Papies EK. Health goal priming as a situated intervention tool: how to benefit from nonconscious motivational routes to health behaviour. *Health Psychol Rev*. 2016 Dec;10(4):408-424. doi: 10.1080/17437199.2016.1183506. Epub 2016 May 19. PMID: 27144729; PMCID: PMC5214881.

Robinson, P., Oades, L. G., & Caputi, P. (2015). Conceptualising and measuring mental fitness: A Delphi study. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 5(1), 53-73. doi:10.5502/ijw.v5i1.4Paula

Ross J, Miller L, Deuster PA. Cognitive Agility as a Factor in Human

Performance Optimization. *J Spec Oper Med.* 2018 Fall;18(3):86-91. doi: 10.55460/QU7U-8ICE. PMID: 30222844.

Rothbard, N. P., & Wilk, S. L. (2011). Waking up on the right or wrong side of the bed: Start-of-workday mood, work events, employee affect, and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(5), 959–980.

Rothbart MK, Posner MI. The developing brain in a multitasking world. *Dev Rev.* 2015 Mar 1;35:42-63. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2014.12.006. PMID: 25821335; PMCID: PMC4371544.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>

Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1989-1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>

Schimschal SE, Visentin D, Kornhaber R, Cleary M. Grit: A Concept Analysis. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2021 May;42(5):495-505. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2020.1814913. Epub 2020 Sep 11. PMID: 32915678.

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being. Free Press.

Seligman ME, Csikszentmihalyi M. Positive psychology. An introduction. Am Psychol. 2000 Jan;55(1):5-14. doi: 10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.5. PMID: 11392865.

Smith BW, Dalen J, Wiggins K, Tooley E, Christopher P, Bernard J. The brief resilience scale: assessing the ability to bounce back. Int J Behav Med. 2008;15(3):194-200.

Tangney JP, Baumeister RF, Boone AL. High self-control predicts good adjustment, less pathology, better grades, and interpersonal success. J Pers. 2004 Apr;72(2):271-324. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00263.x. PMID: 15016066.

Trends [https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?
date=all&geo=US&q=mental%20fitness](https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?date=all&geo=US&q=mental%20fitness)

Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL. Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back from negative emotional experiences. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2004 Feb;86(2):320-33. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.86.2.320. PMID: 14769087; PMCID: PMC3132556.

Twenge JM, Martin GN, Campbell WK. Decreases in psychological well-being among American adolescents after 2012 and links to screen time during the rise of smartphone technology. Emotion. 2018 Sep;18(6):765-780. doi: 10.1037/emo0000403. Epub 2018 Jan 22. PMID: 29355336.